- 1. County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (Sanitation Districts)
- 2. Joyce Dillard
- 3. Teresa Jordan

No.	Author	Comment	Response
1.1	Sanitation	The County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County	Comment noted.
	Districts	(Sanitation Districts) appreciate the opportunity to submit	
		comments on the California Regional Water Quality Control	
		Board, Los Angeles Region's (Regional Board's) proposed	
		non-regulatory amendments to add Chapter 7, "Total	
		Maximum Daily Loads", to administratively update the Water	
		Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region	
		(Basin Plan). The Sanitation Districts are a confederation of	
		23 special districts, which operate and maintain regional	
		wastewater and solid waste management systems for over 5	
		million people who reside in 78 cities and unincorporated	
		areas in Los Angeles County. The Sanitation Districts operate	
		11 wastewater treatment plants and maintain approximately	
		1,400 miles of sewer lines, which convey flows from	
		industries and municipalities within service areas to the	
		aforementioned wastewater treatment plants. Sanitation	
		Districts' water reclamation facilities discharge into inland	
		surface waters and waters of the state, including groundwater.	
		As such, the Sanitation Districts' operations may be affected	
		by the Basin Plan amendments and their implementation.	
1.2	Sanitation	The Sanitation Districts appreciate the Regional Board's efforts	The purpose of the proposed Regional Board
	Districts	to add Chapter 7 to the Basin Plan by incorporating the 30 total	action is to adopt non-regulatory amendments
		maximum daily loads (TMDLs) that have been adopted and	to administratively update Chapter 7 of the
		approved since the current Basin Plan was adopted in 1994.	Basin Plan. All of these basin plan amendments
		While the Sanitation Districts believe that the updated Basin	have been adopted and approved, and the

No.	Author	Comment	Response
		Plan will provide clarity and be a more useful document than the current Basin Plan, and that Regional Board staff did an excellent job in incorporating the previously adopted TMDLs, our review of the proposed updates indicates that there are several minor corrections, detailed below, which should be corrected prior to adoption.	purpose of this update is to incorporate them into the Basin Plan. See below for responses to specific comments.
1.3	Sanitation Districts	Since adoption of many of these TMDLs, the Department of Health Services has changed their name to the California Department of Public Health. The Sanitation Districts suggest updating the language to reflect the new name throughout Chapter 7.	As the TMDLs are reconsidered, they can be amended to take into account this change in agency name. This change pertains primarily to the bacteria TMDLs, five of which are scheduled for reconsideration by the Regional Board during 2012. Therefore, this change can be made at that time.

No.	Author		Commo	ent			Response
1.4	Sanitation	Chapter 7-4 Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDLs			The station names provided in Chapter 7-4 are		
	Districts	As currently proposed, station IDs (the far left column) are			adequately clear.		
			l sites listed in Table				
			ation Districts of Los				In addition, the Santa Monica Bay Beaches
			Γo maintain consiste				Bacteria TMDLs are scheduled to be reconsidered
			gional Board include				in 2012. The addition of station IDs for shoreline monitoring stations along the Palos Verdes
		provided in bol	ld on the following t	able, for these sit	tes a	s well.	Peninsula can be considered at that time.
			County Sanitation	Districts of Los	1.00	las	T similaria cuir de considered at that time.
			County Sites	Districts of Los A	inge	ies	
		LACSD1	Long Point	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSD2	Abalone Cove	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSD3	Portuguese Bend	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSD5	Royal Palms	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSD6	Wilder Annex	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSD7	Cabrillo Beach	Palos Verdes	1	0	
		LACSDMC	Malaga Cove	Palos Verdes	2	0	
		LACSDBC	Bluff Cove	Palos Verdes	0	0	
1.5	Sanitation	Chanter 7-8 I	os Angeles River N	Jitrogen Compo	ınde	s and	The correct effective date of the TMDL would
1.3	Districts	Related Effect	_	itt ogen compo	ullu	dila	be the most recent date (September 27, 2004),
	213411043		. 03-009 ("Amendme	ent to the Water	Oual	ity	which reflects the most recent amendment.
			Los Angeles Region		_	•	There can only be one effective date for the
			Nitrogen Compound				TMDL, and listing more than one effective date
			n effective date of M				may cause confusion.
		Resolution No.	. 03-016 ("Revision	of interim effluer	nt lir	nits for	
		ammonia in the	e Amendment to the	Water Quality C	ontr	ol Plan	
		for the Los Angeles Region to include a TMDL for Nitrogen					
			d Related Effects in	_			
			009"), which amend			•	
		an effective da	te of September 27,	2004. Therefore,	on j	page 7-	

No.	Author	Comment	Response	
		89 of the proposed Basin Plan amendment, the Regional Board		
		should change the effective date of this TMDL to March 23,		
		2004 and list a separate line item for the effective date of the		
		TMDL amendment as September 27, 2004. In addition, on page		
		793, the underlined text "Interim Limits for NH3-N and N03-N		
		+ N02-N" should contain the numbers as subscripts (i.e. NH3-N and N03-N +N02-N).		
1.6	Sanitation	In conclusion, the Sanitation Districts support the Regional	Comment noted.	
	Districts	Board's update of the Basin Plan and commend Regional Board		
		staff for its work in incorporating the previously adopted		
2.1	T 5'11 1	TMDLs.		
2.1	Joyce Dillard	Though approved, the Total Daily Maximum Loads have few	Comment noted.	
2.2	I D:11I	testing and control sites to be of use.	An administration on data of the Design Dlag area	
2.2	Joyce Dillard	It is noticed that this addition is "non-regulatory." If the case, then under what authority does this adoption fall.	An administrative update of the Basin Plan was identified as a priority project to be addressed	
		then under what authority does this adoption ran.	during the most recent triennial review	
			(Resolution No. R10-001). State and federal	
			laws mandate the periodic review and, if	
			necessary, update of Basin Plans (see section	
			303(c)(1) of the Clean Water Act, section	
			131.20(a) of title 40 of the Code of Federal	
			Regulations, and section 13240 of the	
			California Water Code). The proposed action is	
			an update of the Basin Plan to clarify existing	
			regulatory requirements.	
2.3	Joyce Dillard	This attempt to "administratively update" is not the proper	It is the Regional Board's intent that in the	
		method to update a Basin Plan. The adoption was in 1995 or 16	future, the Basin Plan will be more routinely	
		years ago. This is too old a Plan for planning purposes.	updated to reflect each newly adopted Basin	
			Plan amendment. At present, however, we are	
			focused on updating the 1994 Basin Plan in its	
			entirety and expect to complete the update by	

No.	Author	Comment	Response
			summer 2012.
			See comment 1.2
2.4	Joyce Dillard	Municipalities are bound by the General Plan and its Elements under Public Health and Safety.	Comment noted.
2.5	Joyce Dillard	There is no "clarity and convenience." In fact, it is confusing. We cannot see the correlation to "beneficial use" In fact, it looks like a set up for recycled water, which may or not be necessary.	The commenter's conclusion that there is no clarity and convenience or correlation to beneficial use is not explained and Regional Board staff does not understand the commenter's concerns.
2.6	Joyce Dillard	The jurisdictions we see in this Plan are for stormwater, a function of the Bureau of Sanitation in the City of Los Angeles. Groundwater, on the other hand, is a water supply issue and under the jurisdiction of the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power.	Comment noted.
2.7	Joyce Dillard	This update does not touch on groundwater or on its contamination. There has been a Grand Jury report on this issue.	The purpose of the chapter 7 update is only to incorporate previously approved TMDLs into the Basin Plan, and does not seek to address any additional water quality problems. Furthermore, TMDLs are required under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act to address impaired surface waters, not groundwater. See comment 1.2
2.8	Joyce Dillard	Contamination from oil fields and wells, from a history of production with lean to no records, should be taken into account.	See comment 2.7
2.9	Joyce Dillard	Just from a review of the USEPA Region 4 Impaired Water Bodies, there are pathogen problems around those coastal water bodies:	Comment noted. Every water body identified by the commenter has been addressed by one of the previously adopted TMDLs being

No.	Author	Comment	Response
		BAY and HARBOR includes: 1. Los Angeles Harbor-Inner Cabrillo Beach Area 2. Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbor 3. Marina del Rey Harbor-Back Basins 4. Ventura Harbor: Ventura Keys COASTAL & BAY SHORELINE includes:	incorporated into the Basin Plan as part of this action, except Dominguez Channel Estuary. Additional listings on the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) will be addressed in future TMDLs. Those TMDLs will be incorporated into the Basin Plan.
		 Avalon Beach Bluff Cove Beach Cabrillo Beach (Outer) Carbon Beach Castlerock Beach Dan Blocker Memorial (Coral) Beach Dockweiler Beach Hermosa Beach Las Flores Beach Las Tunas Beach Leo Carillo Beach (South of County Line) Long Beach City Beach Lunada Bay Beach Malaga Cove Beach Malibu Beach Malibu Lagoon Beach (Surfrider) Manhattan Beach Marina del Rey Harbor Beach Nicholas Canyon Beach Palo Verde Shoreline Park Beach Paradise Cove Beach Peninsula Beach 	

No.	Author	Comment	Response
		23. Point Dume Beach	_
		24. Point Fermin Park Beach	
		25. Point Vicente Beach	
		26. Portuguese Bend Beach	
		27. Promenade Park Beach	
		28. Redondo Beach	
		29. Santa Monica Beach	
		30. Surfers Point at Seaside Topanga Beach Torrance Beach	
		31. Trancas Beach (Broad Beach)	
		32. Venice Beach	
		33. Will Rogers Beach	
		34. Zuma Beach (Westward Beach)	
		ESTUARY includes:	
		Dominguez Channel Estuary	
		2. Malibu Lagoon	
		3. Santa Clara River Estuary	
2.10	Joyce Dillard	Have you addressed the problem in relationship to (broken)	See comment 2.7
2.11	Jarraa Dilland	sewer lines and odors, an aspect of Heal the Bay v. Browner.	Comment noted See comment 2.2
2.11	Joyce Dillard	This looks like a project, not an administrative action.	Comment noted. See comment 2.2
2.12	Joyce Dillard	You also bind yourself into an amendment without an Adaptive	See comment 1.2
		Management Strategy. Translated, that means finding a way to	
		solve a problem through applied science and hopefully, with low	In addition, these previously adopted TMDLs
		cost.	implement an adaptive management
			approach. These TMDL do not specify the
		This "Amendment" ties ones hands, is not allow creativity and	manner of compliance to achieve the TMDLs.
		problem solving.	Responsible jurisdictions may comply in any

No.	Author	Comment	Response
			lawful manner.
2.13	Joyce Dillard	Please re-issue the Basin Plan with consideration for Sea-Level rise and conditions that affect Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Southern California Bight.	See comment 1.2.
2.14	Joyce Dillard	Please note you have a member of your Board that also sits on Proposition O Citizens Oversight Committee, which is a Conflict of Interest.	Comments pertaining to conflict of interest issues are outside the scope of the non-regulatory amendment to incorporate previously adopted TMDLs by adding "Chapter 7: TMDLs" to the Basin Plan.
3.1	Teresa Jordan	The comment letter consists of numerous suggested editorial changes to the Chapter 7 table of contents, introduction, and TMDL summaries.	Regional Board appreciates the editing suggestions from this commenter, but does not believe that any changes are necessary for the purpose of improving clarity in these TMDLs, or Chapter 7, as a whole.